

2025 Alfalfa, Red Clover, and White Clover Grazing Tolerance Report

G.L. Olson, S.R. Smith, and C.D. Teutsch, Plant and Soil Sciences, and E.S. Vanzant, Animal and Food Sciences

Introduction

Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) is the highest-yielding, highest-quality forage legume grown in Kentucky. It forms the basis of Kentucky's cash hay enterprise and is an important component in dairy, horse, beef, and sheep diets. Recent emphasis on its use as a grazing crop and the release of grazing-tolerant varieties have raised the following question: Do varieties differ in tolerance to grazing? To answer this question, we have chosen to use the standard tolerance test recommended by the North American Alfalfa Improvement Conference. This test uses continuous heavy grazing to sort out differences in grazing tolerance in a relatively short period of time.

Red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.) is a high-quality, short-lived perennial legume that is used in mixed or pure stands for pasture, hay, silage, soil improvement, and wildlife habitat. This species is adapted to a wide range of climatic and soil conditions. Stands of improved varieties are generally productive for two and a half to three years, with the highest yields occurring in the year following establishment. Red clover is used primarily as a renovation legume for grass pastures. It is a dominant forage legume in Kentucky because it is relatively easy to establish and has high forage quality, high yield, and animal acceptance.

White clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) is a low-growing, perennial pasture legume with white flowers. It differs from red clover in that the stems (stolons) grow along the surface of the soil and can form adventitious roots that may lead to the development of new plants. Three types of white clover grow in Kentucky: Dutch, intermediate, and ladino. Dutch white clover, sometimes called common, naturally occurs in many Kentucky pastures and even lawns. It is generally long lived and reseeds readily, but its small leaves and low growth habit result in low forage yield. The intermediate type is a cross between ladino and Dutch white clover and has been developed to give higher yields than the Dutch type and to persist better than the ladino type under pasture or frequent grazing conditions. Ladino white clover has larger leaves and taller growth than the intermediate and Dutch types and is the highest yielding of the three white clover types.

This report summarizes research on the grazing tolerance of alfalfa and clover varieties when subjected to continuous heavy grazing pressure during the grazing season. A summary of all alfalfa varieties tested in Kentucky during the last 20 years and information about distributors, fall dormancy ratings, and disease resistance is included at the end of this report. The UK Forage Extension website (<https://forages.ca.uky.edu>) contains past versions of all forage variety testing reports from Kentucky and surrounding states and a large number of other forage publications.

Important Selection Considerations

Local adaptation and seasonal yield. The variety should be adapted to Kentucky as indicated by good winter survival and good performance across years and locations in replicated yield and grazing trials, such as those presented in this publication. Choose high-yielding, persistent varieties and varieties that are productive during the desired season of use. Refer to the 2025 Alfalfa Report (PR-871) and the 2025 Red and White Clover Report (PR-870), (or previous years if needed) for yield data on specific varieties of interest.

Seed quality. Buy premium-quality seed that is high in germination, high in purity, and free from weed seed. Buy certified seed or proprietary seed of an improved variety. An improved variety is one that has performed well in independent trials. Other information on the label will include the test date (which must be within the previous nine months), the level of germination, and percentage of other crop and weed seed. Order seed well in advance of planting time to assure that it will be available when needed. For pre-inoculated seed, make sure to plant by the expiration date for the rhizobia inoculum on the seed tag.

Description of the Tests

Variety tests for grazing tolerance were established in Lexington in the fall of 2021, 2022, 2023, and 2024. The soils at this location are well-drained silt loams and are well-suited to alfalfa and clover. Plots were 5 feet by 15 feet in a randomized complete block design, with each variety replicated six times. In these tests, alfalfa (20 pounds per acre), red clover (12 pounds per acre) and white clover (3 pounds per acre) were planted into a prepared seedbed using a disk drill. All alfalfa seed lots were treated with metalaxyl fungicide and inoculated if not supplied pre-treated with these treatments. The clover seed was also inoculated prior to planting. With coated seed, the seeding rate was adjusted to account for the weight of any seed coating. Plots were grazed continuously beginning the first spring after seeding. Grazing pressure was maintained to keep plant height to less than three inches. In general, plots were grazed with beef cattle (400-800 pounds) from mid-May until mid-September. Each day cattle were given about 2 pounds/head of grain (soyhulls/cracked corn) to facilitate monitoring the cattle for our IACUC protocol. Supplemental hay was fed during periods of slowest growth. Visual ratings of percent stand were made in the fall several weeks after the cattle were removed to check stand survival after the grazing season. Ratings were made in the spring prior to grazing to check on winter survival and spring growth. Since trials were seeded in rows, persistence ratings were based on density within a row and not total ground cover. Pests (weeds and insects) were controlled so they would not limit yield or persistence. Fertilizers (lime, P, K, and boron) were applied based on University of Kentucky soil test recommendations. In each alfalfa trial, Alfagraze was the grazing-tolerant check variety.

Results and Discussion

Weather data for Lexington is presented in Table 1.

Data on percent stand are presented in tables 2-12. Statistical analyses were performed on these data (including experimentals) to determine whether the apparent differences are due to variety or simply to chance. To determine whether two varieties are truly different, compare the difference between the two varieties to the least significant difference (LSD) at the bottom of the column. If the difference is equal to or greater than the LSD, the varieties are truly different when grown under the conditions at a given location. The coefficient of variation (CV), which is a measure of the variability of the data, is included for each column of means. Low variability is desirable, and increased variability within a study results in higher CVs and larger LSDs.

Table 13, 14, and 15 summarizes information about distributors, fall dormancy ratings, and disease resistance information for all varieties included in current tests. You can find more detailed disease and insect resistance ratings for alfalfa at www.alfalfa.org/pdf/2024_Alfalfa_Variety_Leaflet.pdf.

How to Interpret the Summary Table

Table 16 is a summary of stand persistence data of commercial varieties of alfalfa that have been entered in the Kentucky trials from 2001 to 2025. The data for each trial are listed as a percentage of the grazing-tolerant variety Alfagraze. In other words, in each trial the rating for Alfagraze is set to 100—varieties with table values over 100 persisted better than Alfagraze and varieties with values less than 100 persisted less than Alfagraze. Tables 17 and 18 are summaries of stand persistence data from 2002 to 2025 of commercial red and white clover varieties that have been entered in the Kentucky trials. Table 17 shows information only for one or two years. The data are listed as a percentage of the mean of the commercial varieties entered in each specific trial. In other words, the mean value for each trial is set at 100 percent—varieties with percentages over 100 persisted better than average, and varieties with percentages less than 100 persisted less than average. Direct statistical comparisons of varieties cannot be made using the summary tables 16, 17, and 18, but these comparisons do help to identify varieties for further consideration. Varieties that have performed better than average over many years and at several locations have stable performance, while others may have performed well in wet years or on particular soil types. These details may influence variety choice, and more information can be found in past yearly reports. See footnote in tables 16, 17, and 18 to identify specific yearly reports which contain more detailed persistence information.

Summary

Measurements taken after multiple years of grazing in these trials indicate that alfalfa varieties have been developed that exhibit improved tolerance to heavy grazing pressure compared to standard hay-type varieties. The grazing management imposed in these trials included continuous stocking from the initiation of grazing in spring until mid-September, when grazing was terminated for the season to allow stands to acclimate to winter. Heavy grazing pressure was used purposely in these trials to better differentiate among varieties for relative grazing tolerance. Research has shown that abusive grazing tests are a good way to sort out differences in grazing tolerance between varieties in a relatively short period of time. Recommended rotational grazing management would improve alfalfa forage productivity and stand persistence.

The information in this report should be used in conjunction with other yield, pest resistance, and adaptation information to select the best alfalfa and clover varieties for each situation. Bloat prevention practices are recommended when grazing alfalfa, especially pure stands.

Good management for maximum life from grazing alfalfa and clover would include:

- Allowing alfalfa and clover to become completely established before grazing
- Using rotational grazing where animals harvest available forage in seven days or less, followed by resting for 28 days before regrazing; less rest time is required for white clover
- Adding needed fertilizer and lime
- Removing grazing livestock from alfalfa and red clover fields from mid-September until November 1 to replenish root reserves for winter survival

For further information about grazing alfalfa management, refer to the following College of Agriculture publications, available at the local county Extension office or in the publications section of the UK Forage website (<https://forages.ca.uky.edu>).

Grazing Alfalfa (<https://www.alfalfa.org/pdf/GrazingAlfalfa-Final.pdf>)

Managing Legume Induced Bloat in Cattle (ID-186)

Frost Seeding Clover: A Recipe for Success (AGR-271)

Extending Grazing and Reducing Stored Feed Needs (AGR-199)

Renovating Hay and Pastures Fields (AGR-26)

Weed Control Strategies for Alfalfa and Other Forage Legume Crops (AGR-148)

Rotational Grazing (ID-143)

Grazing Red Clover in Kentucky (AGR-33)

Grazing White Clover in Kentucky (AGR-195)

Authors

G.L. Olson is a research specialist, S.R. Smith is an Extension professor and forage specialist, C.D. Teutsch is an Extension associate professor and forage specialist, E.S. Vanzant is an associate professor of beef cattle nutrition.

We wish to thank Kirk Vanzant (research specialist) for assisting with the procurement and management of the cattle.

Table 1. Temperature and rainfall at Lexington, Kentucky, in 2022, 2023, 2024, and 2025.

	2022				2023				2024				2025 ²			
	Temperature		Rainfall		Temperature		Rainfall		Temperature		Rainfall		Temperature		Rainfall	
	°F	DEP ¹	IN	DEP	°F	DEP	IN	DEP	°F	DEP	IN	DEP	°F	DEP	IN	DEP
JAN	29	-2	4.93	+2.07	44	+13	6.28	+3.42	32	+1	5.50	+2.60	27	-4	2.80	-0.06
FEB	38	+3	7.69	+4.48	47	+12	3.73	+0.52	44	+9	3.90	+0.70	37	+2	6.10	+2.89
MAR	49	+5	4.27	-0.13	48	+4	4.45	+0.05	49	+5	3.50	-0.90	49	+5	3.90	-0.50
APR	55	0	3.71	-0.17	58	+3	2.36	-1.52	58	+3	3.90	0.00	57	+7	10.80	+6.92
MAY	69	+5	3.84	-0.63	65	+1	2.53	-1.94	67	+3	4.60	+0.10	62	-2	7.30	+2.83
JUN	76	+4	2.10	-1.56	72	0	6.75	+3.09	74	+2	2.40	-1.30	75	+3	8.20	+4.54
JUL	80	+4	6.46	+1.46	78	+2	5.32	+0.32	77	+1	2.50	-2.50	79	+3	3.90	-1.10
AUG	77	+2	4.27	+0.34	76	+1	2.40	-1.53	75	0	3.30	-0.60	73	+2	1.80	-2.13
SEP	70	+2	1.50	-1.70	71	+3	0.99	-2.21	70	+2	6.20	+3.00	70	+2	2.70	-0.50
OCT	57	0	0.96	-1.61	61	+4	2.30	-0.27	58	+1	0.30	-2.30	58	+1	8.10	+6.13
NOV	49	+4	2.1	-1.29	49	+4	1.7	-1.69	50	+5	3.80	-0.41				
DEC	40	+4	3.46	-0.52	44	+8	2.41	-1.57	40	+4	3.9	-0.08				
Total			45.29	+0.74			41.22	-3.33			43.80	-0.75			55.60	+18.4

¹DEP is departure from the long-term average.

²2025 data is for ten months through October.

Table 2. Stand persistence of alfalfa varieties sown September 8, 2021, in a cattle grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky.

Variety	Seedling Vigor ¹ Oct 2, 2021	Percent Stand								
		2021	2022		2023		2024		2025	
		Oct 5	Mar 24	Oct 24	Mar 21	Oct 17	Mar 22	Sep 30	Mar 19	Oct 10
Commercial Varieties-Available for Farm Use										
Ameristand 403TPlus	4.6	100	100	100	93	75	69	40	39	32*
Rugged II	4.5	100	100	100	99	75	69	45	44	32*
GA409	4.8	100	100	100	99	61	47	38	35	30*
Rugged	4.8	100	100	100	99	80	71	47	47	28*
Alfagraze	4.3	100	100	99	99	74	70	42	42	22
AFX469	4.4	100	100	99	97	42	28	28	24	20
Mean	4.5	100	100	100	98	68	60	40	39	27
CV,%	7.7	0	0	1	7	23	24	20	34	27
LSD,0.05	0.4	0	0	0	8	19	18	10	16	9

¹Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most vigorous seedling growth.

*Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

Table 3. Seedling vigor and stand persistence of alfalfa varieties sown September 2, 2022, in a cattle grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky.

Variety	Seedling Vigor ¹ Sep 28, 2022	Percent Stand							
		2022	2023		2024		2025		
		Sep 28	Mar 21	Oct 17	Mar 25	Sep 30	Mar 19	Oct 10	
Commercial Varieties-Available for Farm Use									
Alfagraze	5	100	100	87	82	78	55	32*	
Ameristand 403TPlus	5	100	100	93	83	78	63	27*	
Rugged II	5	100	100	94	86	80	70	22*	
AFX469	5	100	100	65	62	62	60	20	
Mean	5	100	100	85	78	75	62	25	
CV, %	0	0	0	10	11	10	21	37	
LSD, 0.05	0	0	0	11	11	9	16	11	

¹Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most vigorous seedling growth.

*Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

Table 4. Seedling vigor and stand persistence of alfalfa varieties sown August 31, 2023, in a cattle grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky.

Variety	Seedling Vigor ¹ Oct 19, 2023	Percent Stand				
		2023	2024		2025	
		Oct 19	Mar 14	Sep 30	Mar 19	Oct 10
Commercial Varieties-Available for Farm Use						
MVS4220Q	4.8	100	100	98	98	87
Alfagraze	4.6	100	99	96	96	83
Rugged II	4.7	100	98	98	98	82
AFX469	4.8	100	97	96	97	81
Mean	4.7	100	98	97	97	83
CV,%	9.6	0	1	2	1	7
LSD,0.05	0.6	0	1	2	2	7

¹Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most vigorous seedling growth.

*Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

Table 5. Seedling vigor and stand persistence of alfalfa varieties sown September 5, 2024, in a cattle grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky.

Variety	Seedling Vigor ¹ Oct 2, 2024	Percent Stand		
		2024	2025	
		Oct 2	Mar 19	Oct 10
Commercial Varieties-Available for Farm Use				
Alfagraze	4.2	100	100	47*
AFX469	4.8	100	100	45*
LaPampa	4.9	100	100	42
Majestic	4.8	100	100	42
Experimental Varieties				
AFX184034	4.3	100	100	55*
GEN-GT6	4.9	100	100	52*
GEN-GC7	5.0	100	100	48*
GAMS2301FSH	3.9	100	100	47*
Mean	4.6	100	100	47
CV,%	5.0	0	0	24
LSD,0.05	0.3	0	0	13

¹Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most vigorous seedling growth.

*Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

Table 6. Seedling vigor and stand persistence of red clover varieties sown September 2, 2022, in a cattle grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky.

Variety	Seedling Vigor ¹ Sep 28, 2022	Percent Stand						
		2022	2023		2024		2025	
		Sep 28	Mar 21	Oct 18	Mar 25	Sep 30	Mar 19	Oct ²
Commercial Varieties-Available for Farm Use								
Kenland (certified)	5.0	100	100	63	58	27*	4*	—
SS0303RCG	5.0	100	100	72	60	25*	4*	—
Freedom!	5.0	100	100	68	57	23*	3*	—
Blaze	5.0	100	100	69	58	21*	3*	—
Gallant	4.8	100	100	67	60	19*	3*	—
Experimental Varieties								
20-LARC-1	5.0	100	100	68	52	26*	3*	—
Mean	5.0	100	100	68	58	23		
CV,%	2.5	0	0	19	16	55		
LSD,0.05	0.1	0	0	15	11	15		

¹Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most vigorous seedling growth.

²All stands were less than 2%, therefore no analysis was done.

*Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

Table 7. Seedling vigor and stand persistence of red clover varieties sown August 31, 2023, in a cattle grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky.

Variety	Seedling Vigor ¹ Oct 19, 2023	Percent Stand				
		2023	2024		2025	
		Oct 19	Mar 14	Sep 30	Mar 19	Oct 10
Commercial Varieties-Available for Farm Use						
Blaze	4.4	100	75	94	60	22*
Freedom!	4.4	100	83	92	66	22*
Gallant	4.0	100	81	95	62	18*
Kenland	4.6	100	82	92	67	18*
SS0303RCG	3.9	99	83	92	63	18*
Experimental Varieties						
20-LARC-1	4.4	100	81	95	53	20*
Mean	4.3	100	81	93	62	20
CV,%	11.6	1	13	5	18	38
LSD,0.05	0.6	1	13	5	13	9

¹Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most vigorous seedling growth.

*Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

Table 8. Seedling vigor and stand persistence of red clover varieties sown September 5, 2024, in a cattle grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky.

Variety	Seedling Vigor ¹ Oct 2, 2024	Percent Stand		
		2024	2025	
		Oct 2	Mar 19	Oct 10
Commercial Varieties-Available for Farm Use				
Gallant	4.1	99	92	58*
Blaze	4.8	99	86	50*
GA9908	3.8	98	84	48*
SS0303RCG	4.5	99	90	48*
Kenland (certified)	4.6	99	95	43*
Vulcano	4.3	98	50	23
Mean	4.3	99	83	45
CV,%	9.7	1	10	35
LSD,0.05	0.5	2	9	19

¹Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most vigorous seedling growth.

*Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

Table 9. Seedling vigor and stand persistence of white clover varieties sown September 8, 2021, in a cattle grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky.

Variety	Seedling Vigor ¹ Oct 5, 2021	Percent Stand								
		2021	2022		2023		2024		2025	
		Oct 5	Mar 24	Oct 24	Apr 10	Oct 18	Mar 25	Sep 30	Mar 19	Oct 10
Commercial Varieties-Available for Farm Use										
Kakariki	3.1	99	99	95	80	78	48	38	37	32*
Will	3.7	99	100	99	92	79	57	40	37	30*
Patriot	3.0	97	99	98	93	68	52	40	40	27*
Durana	3.1	98	99	99	96	64	52	42	36	26*
Alice	3.4	100	100	96	82	60	33	28	22	22
RegalGraze	4.1	100	100	96	85	75	50	28	28	22
Stamina	3.1	99	100	96	89	75	47	28	18	13
Experimental Varieties										
BARTSRWR	4.9	100	100	97	83	61	42	30	24	18
CW9501	3.3	97	98	96	76	62	43	27	22	17
GATR21024D	2.9	99	99	99	91	48	43	20	15	13
Mean	3.5	99	99	97	87	67	47	32	28	22
CV,%	10.4	2	1	2	10	20	26	32	33	36
LSD,0.05	0.4	2	1	3	10	16	15	12	11	9

¹Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most vigorous seedling growth.

*Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

Table 10. Seedling vigor and stand persistence of white clover varieties sown September 2, 2022, in a cattle grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky.

Variety	Seedling Vigor ¹ Sep 28, 2022	Percent Stand						
		2022	2023		2024		2025	
		Sep 28	Mar 21	Oct 17	Mar 25	Sep 30	Mar 19	Oct 10
Commercial Varieties-Available for Farm Use								
Will	4.7	99	99	96	92	79	47	57*
Alice	4.8	98	98	96	93	76	38	55*
Patriot	4.5	98	97	95	94	83	51	55*
Durana	4.6	98	98	98	97	78	41	53*
RegalGraze	4.9	100	100	94	92	63	35	47*
Stamina	4.6	97	97	92	89	70	23	45*
Experimental Varieties								
GATR21024D	4.6	98	98	88	89	66	40	47*
C26532	4.7	99	99	97	95	78	33	43*
Mean	4.7	98	98	94	93	74	39	50
CV,%	8.1	2	3	5	3	12	45	24
LSD,0.05	0.4	2	3	5	4	11	20	14

¹Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most vigorous seedling growth.

*Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

Table 11. Stand persistence of white clover varieties sown August 31, 2023, in a cattle grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky.

Variety	Percent Stand				
	2023	2024		2025	
	Oct 19	Spring ¹	Sep 30	Mar 19	Oct 10
Commercial Varieties-Available for Farm Use					
Kakariki	95	—	90	73	71*
Alice	97	—	94	75	68*
Durana	95	—	91	73	67*
Patriot	96	—	90	75	65*
Will	96	—	91	78	63*
RegalGraze	95	—	85	70	59*
Stamina	95	—	88	63	58*
Experimental Varieties					
GATR23024D	95	—	77	69	57*
Mean	95		88	72	63
CV,%	2		7	11	19
LSD,0.05	2		7	9	14

¹ Winter annual weeds were so thick that a good stand rating could not be obtained.

* Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

Table 12. Seedling vigor and stand persistence of white clover varieties sown September 5, 2024, in a cattle grazing tolerance study at Lexington, Kentucky.

Variety	Seedling Vigor ¹ Oct 2, 2024	Percent Stand		
		2024	2025	
		Oct 2	Mar 27	Oct 10
Commercial Varieties-Available for Farm Use				
Kakariki	3.5	85	63	80*
Stamina	4.0	91	73	78*
Will	3.6	86	82	76*
Apolo	4.2	86	70	75*
Durana	3.6	89	78	73*
RegalGraze	4.3	88	83	70*
Patriot	3.8	88	70	67*
Experimental Varieties				
GEN-TB100	3.2	75	65	58
Mean	3.8	86	73	72
CV,%	19.2	12	13	18
LSD,0.05	0.8	12	11	15

¹ Vigor score based on a scale of 1 to 5 with 5 being the most vigorous seedling growth.

* Not significantly different from the highest numerical value in the column, based on the 0.05 LSD.

Table 13. Characterization and proprietors of alfalfa varieties in current grazing trials in Kentucky.

Variety	Proprietor/KY Distributor	Variety Characteristics ¹						
			Disease Resistance ²					
		FD ³	BW	FW	AN	PRR	APH1	APH2
Commercial Varieties-Available for Farm Use								
AFX 469	Alforex Seeds	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R
Alfagraz	America's Alfalfa	2	MR	R	MR	LR	-	—
Ameristand 403T Plus	America's Alfalfa	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R
GA409	Preferred Alfalfa Genetics	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR
LaPampa	Gentos SA	5.5	ND	R	AR	MR	R	MR
Majestic	Mountain View Seeds	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR
MVS4220Q	Mountain View Seeds	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR
Rugged	Alforex Seeds	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	MR
Rugged II	Alforex Seeds	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R
Experimental Varieties ⁴								
AFX184034	DLF USA Inc	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR
GAMS2301FSH	Mountain View Seeds	5	—	—	—	—	—	—
GEN-GT6	Gentos SA	6	—	R	R	MR	R	R
GEN-GC7	Gentos SA	7	ND	R	AR	MR	R	MR

¹ Variety characteristics: FD=fall dormancy, BW=bacterial wilt, Fw=fusarium wilt, An=anthracnose, PRR=phytophthora root rot, APH=aphanomyces root rot, race 1 and race 2.

² Disease resistance: S=susceptible, LR=low resistance, MR=medium resistance, R=resistance, HR=high resistance (more detailed disease and insect resistance ratings at www.alfalfa.org/pdf/2023_Alfalfa_Variety_Leaflet.pdf).

³ Fall dormancy: 2=Vernal, 3=Ranger, 4=Saranac, 5=DuPuits.

⁴ Experimental varieties are not available commercially, but provide an indication of the progress being made by forage breeding companies.

Table 14. Proprietors of red clover varieties in current grazing trials in Kentucky.

Variety	Proprietor/KY Distributor
Commercial Varieties-Available for Farm Use	
Blaze	Mountain View Seeds
Freedom!	Barenbrug USA
Gallant	Turner Seed
GA9908	Smith Seed Services
Kenland (certified)	Public
SS-0303RCG	Southern States
Vulcano	Gentos SA
Experimental Varieties¹	
20-LA-RC-1	Ampac Seed

¹ Experimental varieties are not available commercially, but provide an indication of the progress being made by forage breeding companies.

Table 15. Proprietors and type of white clover varieties in current grazing trials in Kentucky.

Variety	Type	Proprietor/KY Distributor
Commercial Varieties-Available for Farm Use		
Alice	Intermediate	Barenbrug USA
Apolo	Intermediate	Gentos SA
Durana	Intermediate	Pennington Seed
Dusi	Ladino	Barenbrug USA
Kakariki	Ladino	Luisetti Seeds
Patriot	Intermediate	Pennington Seed
Neches	Intermediate	Barenbrug USA
RegalGraze	Ladino	Cal/West Seeds
Stamina	Intermediate	Mountain View Seeds
Will	Ladino	Allied Seed
Experimental Varieties¹		
BARTSRWR	Red/white blend	Barenbrug USA
CW9501	Ladino	Barenbrug USA
C26532	-	Univ. of GA
GATR21024D	-	Univ. of GA
GATR23024D	-	Univ. of GA
GEN-TB100	-	Gentos SA

¹ Experimental varieties are not available commercially, but provide an indication of the progress being made by forage breeding companies.

Table 16. Summary of 2001-2025 Kentucky alfalfa grazing tolerance trials in Lexington (stand persistence shown as a percent of the grazing tolerant Alfagraze).

Variety	Proprietor	Variety Characteristics ¹																								
		FD	Disease Resistance ²						01 ^{3,4}	04	05	06	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	16	17	19	20	21	22	Mean ⁵ (#trials)
			Bw	Fw	An	PRR	APH1	APH2	3yr ⁶	4yr	4yr	3yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	3yr	4yr	2yr	3yr	4yr	4yr	3yr	
ABT 405	W-L Research	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	–	100																	–
AFX469	Alforex Seeds	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R																91	63	77(2)
Alfabar	Barenbrug USA	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR/R	–														50	43			47(2)
Alfagraze	America's Alfalfa	3	MR	R	MR	R	–	–	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100(18)
Alfagraze 300 RR	America's Alfalfa	3	HR	R	HR	HR	HR	–								110										–
Alfagraze 600 RR	America's Alfalfa	6	–	R	HR	R	R	–											12							–
Amerigraze 401+Z	America's Alfalfa	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	–	125																	–
Ameristand 403T	America's Alfalfa	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R			141	144	50		91		144	118	65							108(7)
Ameristand 403TPlus	America's Alfalfa	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R						133		90				50	150	88	114	145	84	107(8)
Ameristand 407TQ	America's Alfalfa	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R			136			50		80										89(3)
Apollo	America's Alfalfa	4	R	R	R	R	–	–	25		36	27	25	17	27	70	55	86	24							39(10)
Archer III	America's Alfalfa	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	–						33		83										58(2)
Bulldog-505	Univ. of GA	5	–	HR	–	R	–	–									144	100	57							100(3)
FK 421	Donley Seed Co.	4	HR	H	H	H	H	–	100																	–
GA 409	Preferred Alfalfa Genetics	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR																136		–
Grazeking	Southern States	5	MR	HR	HR	R	S	–	50																	–
Integrity	PGI Alfalfa	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R			172															–
LegenDairy5.0	Croplan Genetics	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	–					0			87										44(2)
PGI 424	Producers Choice	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	–							45											–
PGI 459	Producers Choice	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	R						17		93										55(2)
Rebel	Target Seed	4	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	–				79														–
Rugged	Alforex Seeds	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	MR				146												127		137(2)
Rugged II	Alforex Seeds	3	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	R																145	69	–
Saranac AR (cert.)	Public	4	MR	R	HR	LR	–	–	100													25	43			56(3)
Spredor 3	Syngenta	1	HR	HR	R	MR	S	–			68															–
Spredor 4	Syngenta	2	HR	HR	HR	HR	R	–					25													–
TS 4007	Producers Choice	4	HR	R	HR	HR	HR	–							82											–
TS 4010/A4535	Producers Choice	4	HR	R	HR	HR	HR	–						83	145	120										116(3)
Triple Trust 450	ABI/America's Alfalfa	5	HR	HR	HR	HR	HR	–			145															–
5432	Pioneer	4	HR	HR	–	MR	–	–		51																–

¹Variety characteristics: FD=fall dormancy, Bw=bacterial wilt, Fw=fusarium wilt, An=anthracnose, PRR=phytophthora root rot, APH=aphanomyces root rot. Information provided by seed companies.

²Disease resistance: S=susceptible, LR=low resistance, MR=moderate resistance, R=resistance, HR=high resistance (more detailed disease and insect resistance ratings at www.alfalfa.org/pdf/2024_Alfalfa_Variety_Leaflet.pdf).

³Year trial was established.

⁴Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in stand persistence between varieties. To find actual persistence ratings, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific test. For example, the Lexington trial planted in the fall of 2011 was grazed for four years so final persistence report would be "2015 Alfalfa Grazing Tolerance Report" archived in the UK Forage website (<https://forages.ca.uky.edu>).

⁵Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁶Number of years of data.

Table 17. Summary of 2005-2025 Kentucky red clover grazing tolerance trials in Lexington (stand persistence shown as a percent of the mean of the commercial varieties in the test).

Variety	Proprietor	05 ^{1,2}	06	07	08	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	Mean3 (#trials)
		2yr4	1yr	1yr	1yr	1yr	2yr	2yr	2yr	3yr	2yr	2yr	1yr	1yr	2yr	1yr	3yr	3yr	2yr	
AA117ER	ABI Alfalfa	150																		—
Blaze	Mountain View Seeds																125	88	112	108(3)
Barduro	Barenbrug USA													90	70	29	100			72(4)
Cinnamon Plus	Southern States		115	106	111	112	108	122	81											108(7)
Common	Public	6	82	106	91	88	54	44		88				57						68(9)
CW9901	Barenbrug USA													104						—
Freedom!	Barenbrug USA	155	93		104	107	95	56	94	111	73	128	81	142	134	142	100	88	112	107(17)
Freedom! MR	Barenbrug USA	117												118						118(2)
Gallant	Turner Seed									131			85	132	83		75	88	92	98(7)
GA9908	Smith Seed Services								69		102	80			115	55	100			87(6)
Juliet	Caudill Seed			80	90															85(2)
Kenland(cert)	KY Ag Exp Sta	127	108	106	104	93	122	133	113	95	92	104	117	109	83	134	100	117	92	108(18)
Kenton	KY Ag Exp Sta	111																		—
Kenway	KY Ag Exp Sta	61																		—
LS9703	Lewis Seed						122	100	131	82										109(4)
SS0303RCG	Southern States							144	113	92	133	88	117	47	115	139	100	117	92	108(12)
Triple Trust 350	ABI Alfalfa	72																		—

¹ Year trial was established.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in stand persistence between varieties. To find actual persistence ratings, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific test. For example, the trial planted in the fall of 2019 was grazed for two years so the final persistence report would be “2021 Red and White Clover Grazing Tolerance Report” archived in the UK Forage website (<https://forages.ca.uky.edu>).

³ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁴ Number of years of data.

Table 18. Summary of 2002-2025 Kentucky white clover grazing tolerance trials in Lexington (stand persistence shown as a percent of the mean of the commercial varieties in the test).

Variety	Type	Proprietor	02 ^{1,2}	4	06 ³	6	08 ⁴	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	Mean ⁵ (#trials)
			2yr ⁶	4yr	2yr	2yr	3yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	3yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	4yr	3yr	4yr	4yr	3yr	
Alice	Intermediate	Barenbrug USA		59	98									93	71	79	97	95	91	76	88	106	87(11)
Barblanca	Intermediate	Barenbrug USA		118	91	151																	120(3)
Canterbury	Dutch	Allied Seed											51	93									72(2)
Colt	Intermediate	Seed Research of OR		114	134	122																	123(3)
Crescendo	Ladino	Cal/West	84			72														88			81(3)
Dusi	Ladino	Barenbrug USA																	113				–
Durana	Intermediate	Pennington		83	105	103		115	102	107	126	86	81	113	152	86	102	77	104	101	106	102	103(18)
GWC-AS10	– ⁷	Ampac Seed								77													–
Insight	Ladino	Allied Seed				77																	–
Ivory	Intermediate	DLF Pickseed	132	142																			137(2)
Ivory II	Intermediate	DLF Pickseed					102																–
Kakariki	Ladino	Luisetti Seeds															97			113	130		106(3)
Kopu II	Intermediate	Ampac Seed			77	122	96		93	113	112	86	106	93	87	107		95	106				99(13)
KY Select	Intermediate	KY Agr Ex. Sta.						105		83													94(2)
Neches	– ⁷	Barenbrug USA													104				83	88			92(3)
Patriot	Intermediate	Pennington		110	137	122		100	111	110	123	102	132	109	123	107	111	107	118	107	110	106	114(18)
Pinnacle	Ladino	Allied Seed									87												–
Rampart	– ⁷	Oregro Seeds						90															–
Regal	Ladino	Public	92		57	54		93		103													80(5)
RegalGraze	Ladino	Cal/West			84	87	105	90	87	93	72	94	81	102	87	107	87	95	85	101	90	90	91(18)
Renovation	Intermediate	Smith Seed											102	100	55		97		97				90(5)
Resolute	Intermediate	Southern States			101	106					65												91(3)
Seminole	Ladino	Saddle Butte Ag. Inc.		75		97	91						89	85									97(5)
Stamina	Intermediate	Mountain View Seeds																			53	87	70(2)
Tillman II	Ladino	Caudill Seed	92																				–
WBDX	Dutch	Saddle Butte Ag. Inc.								70													–
Will	Ladino	Allied Seed			117	87	107	105	108	143	115	133	157	111	120	114	108	131	116	113	122	110	118(18)

¹ Year trial was established.

² Use this summary table as a guide in making variety decisions, but refer to specific yearly reports to determine statistical differences in stand persistence between varieties. To find actual persistence ratings, look in the yearly report for the final year of each specific test. For example, the trial planted in the fall of 2016 was grazed for four years so the final persistence report would be “2020 Red and White Clover Grazing Tolerance Report” archived in the UK Forage website (<https://forages.ca.uky.edu>).

³ This trial was planted in the spring of 2006 due to poor establishment of the fall 2005 planting.

⁴ This trial was planted in the spring of 2008 due to poor establishment of the fall 2007 planting.

⁵ Mean only presented when respective variety was included in two or more trials.

⁶ Number of years of data.

⁷ Type was not provided by the company.

Notes

[illegible]

Notes

[illegible]

2025 Alfalfa, Red Clover, and White Clover Grazing Tolerance Report



Mention or display of a trademark, proprietary product, or firm in text or figures does not constitute an endorsement and does not imply approval to the exclusion of other suitable products or firms.

The College of Agriculture, Food and Environment is an Equal Opportunity Organization.
12-2025